

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/719,415	11/21/2003	Dusan Pavenik	PA-5360-RFB	3409	
	9896 7590 11/19/2007 COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE			EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 2269			PRONE, CHRI	PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
	BLOOMINGTO	ON, IN 47402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3738		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Auglionian No	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/719,415	PAVCNIK ET AL.				
. Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2007</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 13 15 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-12,14,16-18 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·		•				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In response to the applicants arguments and further consideration of the claim language it is believed that the applicant does provide support for the stent having proximal most and distal most ends that are at least coincident with the proximal most and distal most ends of the tissue graft. In view of that the 112 rejections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 9-11, 14, 17, 18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 5,865,723 Love in view of United States Patent 5,891,193 Robinson et al.

Love discloses the invention substantially as claimed comprising expandable first inner stent 16, expandable second outer stent 14, and a tissue graft layer 12 comprising a extra cellular matrix material collagen disposed on the first stent and under the second. Love also discloses that the stent may comprise a plurality of circumferential segments and a plurality of tie bars shown in figure 9. In regards to claims 17, figure 2 of Love shows that the outside diameter of the first stent is greater than the inside

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

diameter of the second stent. In regards to claim 18 Love further discloses that the first and second stents have equivalent inside and outside diameters (7:25-37).

However, Love does not disclose that the distal and proximal most portions of the first stent are at least coincident with or extend beyond the distal and proximal most ends of the graft.

Robinson teaches the use of a tubular implant comprising a tissue graft 20 and an inner expandable stent member having anchors that extend beyond the grafts distal and proximal ends in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of securely anchoring the distal and proximal ends of the implant to the operation site.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the implant of Love have stent anchor extensions that extend beyond the graft ends as taught by Robinson in order to better anchor the implant to the vessel.

Claims 4, 5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Love in view of Robinson and further in view of United States Patent 6,358,284 B1 Fearnot et al.

The combination of Love in view of Robinson discloses the invention substantially as claimed being disclosed above. However, the combination does not disclose that the tissue graft comprises multiple layers of submucosa.

Fearnot teaches the use of tubular grafts comprising layers of submucosa sheets in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing enhanced repair of damaged or diseased host tissues.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the submucosa tissue graft layers as taught by Fearnot with the stent graft of Love as modified by Robinson in order to provide enhanced repair of damaged or diseased host tissues.

Claims 1, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 5,628,788 Pinchùk in view of United States Patent 6,358,284 B1 Fearnot et al.

Pinchuk discloses the invention substantially as claimed being a double-layered stent graft wherein the inner stent is smaller than the outer stent and the ends of each layer are at least coincident as seen in figures 3-9. However, Pinchuk does not disclose that the graft comprises multiple layers of tissues.

Fearnot teaches the use of tubular grafts comprising layers of submucosa tissue sheets in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing enhanced repair of damaged or diseased host tissues.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the submucosa tissue graft layers as taught by Fearnot with the double layered stent graft of Pinchuk in order to provide enhanced repair of diseased host tissues and better anchoring and expansion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-12, 14, 16-18, and 20-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700